

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/025,130	12/18/2001	Zhiming Zhou	56008US002	5126	
32692	7590 07/07/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ATIVE PROPERTIES CC	MOORE, MARGARET G			
PO BOX 3342 ST. PAUL, M	27 1N 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712		
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	—/\
		10/025,130	ZHOU ET AL.	L.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Margaret G. Moore	1712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ss
A SHI THE I Exter after If the If NO Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMUNICATION IS COMMUNICATION IN COMMU	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tatute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this common NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6	<u>03 May 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	·	_ · ·	erits is
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 to 38 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 30 to 32 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1 to 29, 33 to 38 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examember The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u></u> a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docum  Certified copies of the priority docum  Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	ge
Attachmen	i(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE r No(s)/Mail Date	) Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/025,130 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

1. The rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, has been withdrawn for reasons consistent with those provided in applicants' response.

- 2. Applicants assert that the office action is "somewhat confusing" in its reliance on 5,106,951. The Examiner does not understand what can be confusing. 5,106,951 was referred to as providing examples of electron rich groups; it is never mentioned in the prior art rejections.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1 6, 8 14, 16 26, 29 and 33 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Leir for reasons of record.

Applicants have amended the independent claims to require that the electron rich groups are groups that provide self priming capability. They traverse this rejection by stating that Leir does not disclose a composition wherein the electron rich groups are groups that provide self-priming capability. Claim 1 is drawn to a composition that is defined solely by the copolymer used therein. Leir teach such a copolymer, including the preferred electron rich groups in claim 2. Products of identical chemical composition cannot have mutually exclusive properties. A chemical composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present.

On the other hand, applicants direct attention to page 2, lines 7 to 14, of the instant specification to describe what is meant by self priming capability. This indicates self priming capability means that there is no need for a secondary primer to adhere the composition to certain substrates. The Examiner notes that Leir never indicates that a primer is required for the adhesive composition taught therein; the working examples show applying such a composition directly to the substrate. As such the compositions of Leir can be considered to be self priming and this limitation is met by the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,130

Art Unit: 1712

5. Claims 7, 15, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leir.

The Examiner relies on the rationale noted in the previous office action in this rejection. Applicants apparently rely on the amendment to the independent claims in overcoming this rejection. Such an amendment does not distinguish the claims from the prior art, as noted supra, and as such this rejection is maintained.

- 6. Claims 30 to 32 are allowed, for reasons of record.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday and Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Margaret/ G. Moore Primary/Examiner Art Unit 1712

mgm 7/3/04